EDITORY PRESS
PEER REVIEW POLICY

PEER REVIEW POLICY

Editory Press uses rigorous peer review to ensure the quality, validity, and academic integrity of published research. Peer review supports constructive improvement of manuscripts and helps maintain high scholarly standards.

1) Review Models

The peer review model depends on the journal. The selected model will be stated clearly on each journal page.

Double-blind peer review

  • Reviewers and authors are anonymous to each other.

Single-blind peer review

  • Reviewers know the authors' identities, but reviewers remain anonymous to authors.

Open peer review

  • Increased transparency (e.g., published reviews, disclosed identities, or both), depending on the journal's policy.

Note: Not all journals support all models. Always check the journal's "For Authors" information for the exact review model and requirements (e.g., blinded manuscripts, separate title page).

2) Peer Review Process (Typical Workflow)

The exact timeline varies by journal and reviewer availability, but a typical process includes:

1. Initial editorial screening (1–3 days)

  • Scope fit, baseline quality, and completeness checks.

2. Assignment to qualified reviewers (about 1 week)

  • Editors invite reviewers with relevant expertise.

3. Peer review period (2–4 weeks)

  • Reviewers evaluate the manuscript's rigor, clarity, and contribution.

4. Editorial decision based on reviews

  • Possible outcomes: accept, minor revision, major revision, reject.

5. Revision cycle (if needed)

  • Authors submit a revised manuscript and a response letter addressing reviewer comments.

6. Final acceptance and publication

  • After acceptance, the manuscript proceeds to production and publication.

3) Reviewer Selection Criteria

Reviewers are selected based on:

- Relevant expertise in the subject area
- No conflicts of interest (financial, professional, or personal)
- Commitment to constructive, evidence-based feedback
- Confidentiality and professional conduct

4) Confidentiality and Ethics

- Manuscripts under review are confidential documents.
- Reviewers must not share, distribute, or use unpublished work for personal advantage.
- Editors and reviewers must declare conflicts of interest and recuse themselves when necessary.

5) Reviewer Recognition

We value reviewers' contributions and may provide recognition for their service, such as:

- Annual acknowledgements (where appropriate)
- Certificates of reviewing
- Confirmation letters upon request (subject to journal policy)

6) Questions or Appeals

If you have questions about the peer review process for a specific journal, consult the journal's guidelines first. If you still need support, contact the editorial office:

- Email:
- Subject line: "Peer Review Question — [Journal name] — [Manuscript ID if available]"